

V. CONCLUSION

In view of the above, Applicants maintain that all points raised by the Examiner relating to claims 2, 12, 14, and 28 - 56 have been answered.

Applicants cancel claims 2, 12, 14, 28 - 30, 32, 33, 36, 42, 43, and 45 - 56 without prejudice for reasons of expediency and not for reasons of patentability; which Applicants may intend to resubmit in a continuation application to be filed during the pendency of the current application or, in a series of co-pending applications, during the pendency of at least one application that claims this application as its parent application or that claims the same parent application that is claimable by this application. Applicants amend claim 31 without prejudice for reasons of expediency and not for reasons of patentability; and Applicants may intend to resubmit the word "neurobehavioral" in at least one claim in a continuation application to be filed during the pendency of the current application or, in a series of co-pending applications, during the pendency of at least one application that claims this application as its parent application or that claims the same parent application that is claimable by this application. Claim 57 has not been entered.

Claims 31, 34, 35, 37 - 41 and 44 now presented are in condition for allowance.

Reconsideration and favorable action are earnestly solicited. Applicants respectfully request that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

If the Examiner has any questions, the Examiner is encouraged to contact Applicants' attorney via telephone.

Date: October 21, 2009

Respectfully Submitted,

/Patricia A. Wenger/

Patricia A. Wenger, Esquire
Registration No. 42,218
Wenger Law Offices
201 North Jackson Street
Media, PA 19063
610-566-3040
pwenger@legalpatent.com